	Case 1:22-cv-00089-HBK Document	16 Filed 10/24/22	Page 1 of 2
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	CASEY D. CHAVEZ-REYES,	Case No. 1:22-cv	v-00089-HBK
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANT	
13	V.	UNDER SENTE	IOTION TO REMAND NCE FOUR OF 42 U.S.C. §
14	KILOLO KIJAKAZI, ACTING COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL	REMANDING C	ING FINAL DECISION AND ASE ¹
15	SECURITY,	(Doc. No. 15)	
16 17	Defendant.	ORDER MOOT FOR SUMMAR	ING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION Y JUDGMENT
18		(Doc. No. 11)	
19	Don din a hafana tha Cassat is the mouting	· : . :	and filed October 21, 2022
20	Pending before the Court is the parties' joint motion to remand filed October 21, 2022. (Doc. No. 15). Plaintiff Casey D. Chavez-Reyes and the Commissioner of Social Security agree		
21	that this case should be remanded for further administrative proceedings under sentence four of		
22	42 U.S.C. § 405(g). (<i>Id.</i>).		
23	The United States Supreme Court held that the Social Security Act permits remand in		
24	conjunction with a judgment either affirming, reversing, or modifying the Secretary's decision.		
25	See Melkonyan v. Sullivan, 501 U.S. 89, 97-98 (1991) (addressing issue of attorney's fees under		
26	See Meikonyan v. Samvan, 301 O.S. 65, 57-56 (1991) (addressing issue of attorney's fees under		
27	Both parties have consented to the jurisdiction of a magistrate judge in accordance with 28 U.S.C. §636(c)(1). (Doc. No. 8).		
28	3000(e)(1). (Doc. 110. 0).		

Case 1:22-cv-00089-HBK Document 16 Filed 10/24/22 Page 2 of 2

the Equal Access to Justice Act and calculating deadline using date of final judgment). The Melkonyan court recognized 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) contemplates only two types of remand – sentence four or sentence six. Id. at 98. A sentence four remand authorizes a court to enter "a judgment affirming, modifying, or reversing the decision of the Secretary, with or without resetting the cause for a rehearing." *Id.* (other citations omitted). The Court grants the parties' motion to remand under sentence four and reverses the Commissioner's final decision. As agreed upon by the parties, upon remand, the Administrative Law Judge should re-evaluate the evidence of record and issue a new decision. Accordingly, it is **ORDERED**: 1. Pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C.§ 405(g), the Court grants the joint motion to remand (Doc. No. 15) and REVERSES the Commissioner's decision. This case is REMANDED to the Commissioner of Social Security for further proceedings consistent with this Order. 2. An application for attorney fees may be filed by separate motion. 3. Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment (Doc. No. 11) is MOOT. 3. The Clerk shall enter judgment in favor of Plaintiff, terminate any deadlines, and close this case. Dated: October 24, 2022 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE